

This is a **Sample** version of the
**Columbia-Suicide Severity
Rating Scale (C-SSRS) KIT**

The **full version** of the C-SSRS/KIT comes without 'sample' watermark.

The full complete C-SSRS/KIT version includes

- C-SSRS Training Video (30min MP4)
- C-SSRS Scoring/ Administration instructions (13pgs)
- C-SSRS Complete Questionnaire/Assessment (3pgs)
- C-SSRS Clinical Validity (21pgs)

The complete C-SSRS/KIT comes digitally packaged in Zip format for easy download.

Buy full version here -  for \$12.00

Once you have paid for your item you will receive a direct link to download your full complete e-book instantly. You will also receive an email with a link to download your e-book. Each purchased product you order is available to download for 24 hours from time of purchase. Should you have any problems or enquiries please contact - info@agedcaretests.com
To see more assessments tests and scales go to - www.agedcaretests.com

The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale: Initial Validity and Internal Consistency Findings From Three Multisite Studies With Adolescents and Adults

Kelly Posner, Ph.D., Gregory K. Brown, Ph.D., Barbara Stanley, Ph.D., David A. Brent, M.D., Kseniya V. Yershova, Ph.D., Maria A. Oquendo, M.D., Glenn W. Currier, M.D., M.P.H., Glenn A. Melvin, Ph.D., Laurence Greenhill, M.D., Sa Shen, Ph.D., and J. John Mann, M.D.

Department of Psychiatry and the Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Columbia University, College of Physicians and Surgeons, and New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York; Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia; University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine and Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Pittsburgh; Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, N.Y.; and the Monash University Centre for Developmental Psychiatry and Psychology, Victoria, Australia

Abstract

Objective—Research on suicide prevention and interventions requires a standard method for assessing both suicidal ideation and behavior to identify those at risk and to track treatment response. The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) was designed to quantify the severity of suicidal ideation and behavior. The authors examined the psychometric properties of the scale.

Method—The C-SSRS’s validity relative to other measures of suicidal ideation and behavior and the internal consistency of its intensity of ideation subscale were analyzed in three multisite studies: a treatment study of adolescent suicide attempters (N=124); a medication efficacy trial with depressed adolescents (N=312); and a study of adults presenting to an emergency department for psychiatric reasons (N=237).

Results—The C-SSRS demonstrated good convergent and divergent validity with other multi-informant suicidal ideation and behavior scales and had high sensitivity and specificity for suicidal

Address correspondence to Dr. Posner (posnerk@nyspi.columbia.edu).

Dr. Posner receives royalty payments for the electronic self-rated versions of the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (eC-SSRS) from ERT, Inc., and is the director of the Center for Suicide Risk Assessment, which, as part of an effort to help execute the U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s suicidality classification mandates, has received support from Abbott, Albany Molecular Research, Alfresa, Alkermes, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Biodelivery Sciences International, Biomarin, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Canam, Cato Research, Cephalon, Cetero Research, Covance, CRI Worldwide, Depomed, Douglas Pharmaceuticals, Eisai, Euthymics, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, GW Pharma, Human Genome Sciences, i3 Research, ICON, IntelGenx, Intracellular Therapies, Johnson & Johnson, Kendle Early Stage, Lilly USA, Lundbeck A/S, Lundbeck USA, MedImmune, Medtronic, Merck, Neurosearch, Next Wave Pharmaceuticals, Novartis, Noven, NovoNordisk, Orexigen, Otsuka, Parexel, Pfizer, PGx Health, Pharmaceutical Product Development, Inc., Psyadon, QED, Quintiles, Reckitt Benckiser, Roche, Sanofi-Aventis, Schering-Plough, SCOPE International, Sepracor/Sunovion, Shire, Siena Biotech, Supernus, Synosia Therapeutics, Takeda, Theravance, Upsher-Smith, Valeant, Vivus, World Wide Clinical Trials, and Wyeth Research. Dr. Brown receives royalty payments for eC-SSRS from ERT, Inc. Dr. Stanley receives royalty payments for eC-SSRS from ERT, Inc. Dr. Brent receives research support from NIMH, royalties from Guilford Press, and honoraria for serving on the editorial board of UpToDate and for CME presentations. Dr. Oquendo has received unrestricted educational grants or lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Otsuka, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, and Shire, owns equity in Bristol-Myers Squibb, and receives royalty payments for eC-SSRS from ERT, Inc. Dr. Greenhill has received research support from Rhodes Pharmaceutical, National Institute on Drug Abuse, and Johnson & Johnson and study medication and placebo from Shire Pharmaceuticals for an investigator-initiated imaging study. Dr. Mann has received research support from Glaxo-SmithKline and Novartis and receives royalty payments for eC-SSRS from ERT, Inc. The other authors report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

behavior classifications compared with another behavior scale and an independent suicide evaluation board. Both the ideation and behavior subscales were sensitive to change over time. The intensity of ideation subscale demonstrated moderate to strong internal consistency. In the adolescent suicide attempters study, worst-point lifetime suicidal ideation on the C-SSRS predicted suicide attempts during the study, whereas the Scale for Suicide Ideation did not. Participants with the two highest levels of ideation severity (intent or intent with plan) at baseline had higher odds for attempting suicide during the study.

Conclusions—These findings suggest that the C-SSRS is suitable for assessment of suicidal ideation and behavior in clinical and research settings.

Suicide prevention strategies outlined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and the National Institutes of Health depend on establishing the frequency and severity of suicidal behavior and identifying risk and protective factors (1, 2). Data collection to support these aims must employ valid and reliable assessment tools that allow comparison at local, national, and international levels.

A lack of uniformity in assessment stems in part from the variability of terms referring to similar or even identical behavior. Without a common language for reporting and communicating occurrences of suicidal behavior and ideation, prevention research is undermined in several key areas, including the establishment of reliable incidence and prevalence rates; comparison of data across studies, time periods, and locations; development of adequate tools for identifying and screening patients in primary and other care settings; measurement of risk and benefit in drug trials and postmarketing surveys; and training of health care workers, gatekeepers, and first responders in suicide risk detection (3). Furthermore, the exclusion of individuals with suicidal ideation and behavior from clinical trials has resulted in a dearth of evidence for interventions for these populations, and the methods for determining which patients will be excluded have been variable, making it even more difficult to conduct analyses of these populations on suicide-related questions (4, 5).

Studies of risk factors predicting suicide consistently suggest that suicidal ideation and a history of suicide attempts are among the most salient risk factors for suicide (6–9). Moreover, a structured assessment of suicidal ideation and behavior significantly improves identification of high-risk patients relative to a routine clinical interview (10). However, to date, the field has lacked a single standard measure that assesses both suicidal ideation and behavior (10).

To address inconsistencies in nomenclature, the impact of such inconsistencies on accurate identification, and the need for a single measure to assess the severity of and track changes in suicidal ideation and behavior, a team of investigators from Columbia University, the University of Pennsylvania, and the University of Pittsburgh developed the Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS).

Suicidal ideation and behavior have traditionally been conceived as a unidimensional construct, with passive ideation, active intent, and behavior existing along a continuum (11, 12). The C-SSRS, however, was designed to distinguish the domains of suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior. Four constructs are measured. The first is the severity of ideation (hereafter referred to as the “severity subscale”), which is rated on a 5-point ordinal scale in which 1=wish to be dead, 2=nonspecific active suicidal thoughts, 3=suicidal thoughts with methods, 4=suicidal intent, and 5=suicidal intent with plan. The second is the intensity of ideation subscale (hereafter referred to as the “intensity subscale”), which comprises 5 items, each rated on a 5-point ordinal scale: frequency, duration, controllability, deterrents,

**This is the end of the SAMPLE C-SSRS clinical validity.
Please return to page 1 to purchase complete version.**

Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale Scoring and Administration

Contributors: Mary E. Nilsson, Shailaja Suryawanshi, Cristiana Gassmann-Mayer, Sarah Dubrava, Paul McSorley, and Kaihong Jiang.

Introduction

The Columbia–Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS) is an assessment tool that evaluates suicidal ideation and behavior. This guide outlines the proposed safety outcomes and statistical analysis strategy for the C-SSRS for an individual clinical trial.

The actual tables or combination of tables may vary to be consistent with a sponsor’s standards, or to be consistent with the needs of a particular regulatory agency/division. As such this document serves as a general guideline and is not a proposal for mandatory analyses involving the C-SSRS. When analyzing the C-SSRS across studies, the same safety outcomes can be used. However, different statistical methodology would apply to combining data across studies, and is not discussed in this document.

As noted in the August 2012 draft guidance titled “Suicidal Ideation and Behavior: Prospective Assessment of Occurrence in Clinical Trials”, the FDA has adopted the 11 categories defined in the C-SSRS (five subtypes of suicidal ideation, five subtypes of suicidal behavior, and self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent) as their standard. Some data collected on the C-SSRS are not included in these proposed displays for safety analysis (e.g., suicidal behavior lethality and suicidal ideation intensity), but are used for individual clinical management, safety monitoring, or other research purposes.

Analysis Set:

The analysis set should be defined consistently with the sponsor’s standards for the safety analysis set. Frequently, this means including patients who have been exposed to at least one dose of study drug. When analyzing the C-SSRS, it is recommended to include patients having at least 1 post-baseline C-SSRS measurement, regardless of whether they had a baseline C-SSRS measurement. For some analyses of the C-SSRS (e.g., treatment-emergent assessments and shift tables), a pre-treatment C-SSRS measurement is also required.

Outcomes:

There is current debate on whether suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior should be combined and analyzed as a single outcome. Researchers agree on the need for having analyses that keep suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior separate, but disagree on

whether there is value to having any outcome that combines them. This document currently includes the combined outcomes measure.

The following outcomes are C-SSRS categories and have binary responses (yes/no). The categories have been re-ordered from the actual scale to facilitate the definitions of the composite and comparative endpoints, and to enable clarity in the presentation of the results.

- Category 1 – Wish to be Dead
- Category 2 – Non-specific Active Suicidal Thoughts
- Category 3 – Active Suicidal Ideation with Any Methods (Not Plan) without Intent to Act
- Category 4 – Active Suicidal Ideation with Some Intent to Act, without Specific Plan
- Category 5 – Active Suicidal Ideation with Specific Plan and Intent
- Category 6 – Preparatory Acts or Behavior
- Category 7 – Aborted Attempt
- Category 8 – Interrupted Attempt
- Category 9 – Actual Attempt (non-fatal)
- Category 10 – Completed Suicide

Self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent is also a C-SSRS outcome (although not suicide-related) and has a binary response (yes/no).

The following outcome is a numerical score derived from the C-SSRS categories. The score is created at each assessment for each patient and is used for determining treatment emergence.

- **Suicidal Ideation Score:** The maximum suicidal ideation category (1-5 on the C-SSRS) present at the assessment. Assign a score of 0 if no ideation is present.

Endpoints:

Composite endpoints based on the above categories are defined below.

- **Suicidal ideation:** A “yes” answer at any time during treatment to any one of the five suicidal ideation questions (Categories 1-5) on the C-SSRS.
- **Suicidal behavior:** A “yes” answer at any time during treatment to any one of the five suicidal behavior questions (Categories 6-10) on the C-SSRS.
- **Suicidal ideation or behavior:** A “yes” answer at any time during treatment to any one of the ten suicidal ideation and behavior questions (Categories 1-10) on the C-SSRS.

Comparative endpoints of interest are defined below. “Treatment emergence” is used for outcomes that include events that first emerge or worsen. “Emergence” is used for outcomes that include events that first emerge.

**This is the end of the SAMPLE C-SSRS scoring & administration.
Please return to page 1 to purchase complete version.**

